"We Americans claim to be a peace-loving people. We hate bloodshed; we are opposed to violence. Yet we go into spasms of joy over the possibility of projecting dynamite bombs from flying machines upon helpless citizens. We are ready to hang, electrocute, or lynch anyone, who, from economic necessity, will risk his own life in the attempt upon that of some industrial magnate. Yet our hearts swell with pride at the thought that America is becoming the most powerful nation on earth, and that it will eventually plant her iron foot on the necks of all other nations. Such is the logic of patriotism."

Emma Goldman, 1911

for further information:

www.houston.indymedia.org www.linefeed.org/~cactus www.warincontext.org www.zmag.org www.kpft.org

cal parties and trade unions are banned, the local media is tightly censored and there government killed thousands of people when it used chemical weapons against Kurdish cern for democracy or human rights in Iraq. The US government was on good terms democracy or "weapons of mass destruction". ists and terrorizing the Palestinian population with harassment and assaults by the ture, ruining the Palestinian economy, jailing and torturing Palestinian political activing their land, forcing them into ghettos, repressing expressions of Palestinian culworld, is actively pursuing genocidal policies against the Palestinian people, occupyis legal discrimination against women [4]. Israel, the largest recipient of US aid in the The great US ally, Saudi Arabia, is a monarchy. In Saudi Arabia, independent politiin the Middle East, we could simply look at the regimes that it supports in the region were needed that the US government has no interest in democracy and human rights simply watched as Iraqi ground troops crushed this revolt. [3] If any further proof Shi'a Muslims in southern Iraq, against Saddam's government. The US and its allies March of 1991, after Iraqi troops were driven out of Kuwait, there was an uprising of with weapons and assistance, even after such brutality [2]. Even after the Gulf War rebels, and entire Kurdish villages. Nevertheless, the US continued to supply Saddam diers and civilians were exposed to Iraqi chemical weapons [1]. In 1988, the Iraqi weapons. During the Iran/Iraq war (1980-1988) approximately 60,000 Iranian solmilitary power in the region, giving the Iraqi government technical assistance and with Iraq from 1984 to 1990. Throughout this period they helped to build up Iraq as a ing Iraq, not because of any threat of "weapons of mass destruction" nor out of con-Israeli Defense Forces. [5] The war has nothing whatsoever to do with human rights, (1990-1991) the US didn't much care about the brutality of the Iraqi government. In We have to be absolutely clear about this war: the US government is attack-

companies will profit off the flow of that oil. follows will be loyal to the US, that it will increase the oil production and that US East, and its oil. [6] By conquering Iraq, the US can assure that the regime that dwindle, the US government wants to make sure that it has a firm hold on the Middle has the potential to produce millions of barrels of oil a day. As the world's oil supplies Iraq has the second largest oil reserves in the world, after Saudi Arabia. It

crats and the Republicans [7]. do, among other things, by making generous campaign donations to both the Demovested interest in promoting aggression on the part of the US government, which they companies, more war means more profits. In this way, the defense industry has a Cold War, and continues to spend over \$300 billion a year on "defense". For these the US has not shut down a single major weapons production line since the end of the use that money on its civilian aircraft as well. In fact, war is such good business, that economy. Boeing, which is the second largest recipient of Pentagon contracts, can products. In most cases, the effects of defense spending subsidize whole areas of the The US government thus provides the arms industry with a guaranteed market for its weapons, are given defense contracts by the government worth billions of dollars Also, war itself is good business. The big corporations that manufacture

> solidarity with the front lines of resistance across the world. The war on Iraq fits in are fighting for "the freedom that America really stands for", and we stand in open exploitation and misery, while the rich profit. But we don't have any illusions that we unlike wars between nations, there can be no peace between classes. So long as the violence and capitalist oppression—it is to oppose the war against the poor. And cians. To struggle for such a world, is to oppose the long intertwined history of state cially. We want a world without borders and bureaucrats, without police and politigovernment. Frankly, we are enemies of government, and the US government espewith a long history of colonization, brutality and aggression on the part of the US meaningless to talk of peace. Only through an organized, determined struggle on the rich exist as a class, profiting off the forced exploitation and misery of the poor, it is of the proper, patriotic, political channels. In fact, it is ultimately a struggle against end to exploitation. This struggle is not a game, and does not fit nicely into the rules part of the poor and exploited against their exploiters can we hope to bring about an We anarchists want an end to war, too. Wars kill poor people and increase

Sources

[1] "Iran: The Legacy of chemical weapons" by Doug Schwartz

http://www.foreignwire.com/chemical.html

[2] "When US turned a blind eye to poison gas" by Dilip Hiro. Daily Observer, September

http://www.observer.co.uk/Print/0,3858,4492363,00.html

Chris Toensing. From Middle East Research & Information Project. October, 2002. [3] "Why Another War? A backgrounder on the Iraq Crisis" by Sarah Graham-Brown and

[4] "Saudi Arabia: A Secret State of Suffering" by Ammesty International

http://www.amnesty.org/ailib/intcam/saudi/index.html

[5] "The New Intifada: Resisting Israeli Apartheid". Ed. Roane Carey, New York: Verso.

[6] see [3]

and military policy" by William D. Hartung. From Foreign Policy in Focus [7] "Military-Industrial Complex Revisited: How Weapons Makers are shaping US foreign

http://www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/papers/micr/index.html

Foreign Policy Studies, February 2002. "Restraining the Growth of the U.S. Defense Budget" by Michael E. O'Hanlon. From

http://www.brook.edu/views/testimony/ohanlon/20020228.htm

[8] "About Global Poverty and Microcredit" from PBS online http://www.pbs.org/toourcredit/home.htm

http://www.undp.org/hdr2002/ United Nations Development Programme. New York: Oxford University Press, 2002 "Human Development Report 2002: Deepening Democracy in a fragmented world" by

[9] "A roof is not enough: a look at homelessness worldwide" by Monte Leach. From Share International Archives

http://www.shareintl.org/archives/homelessness/hl-mlaroof.htm

goods and money across its borders to ensure profitability. And, most importantly, it uses violence to defend the interests of the rich. In Iraq—as in Afghanistan before it—the government uses war to defend the interests of the rich investors in oil and arms corporations who profit from weapons contracts and increased access to oil resources. In Colombia (and the rest of Northern South America) the US government is funding a massive military build-up, in order to eliminate the organized resistance to further capitalist development in the region (the Marxist guerillas and radical associations of the indigenous people and the poor coca-growers). Indeed capitalism became the dominant economic system of the globe because of the cheap cotton produced by the forced enslavement of Africans, and the cheap land stolen as European

Of course it is patriotic to defend the "peace" that existed before the war on Iraq. But it is a somewhat ridiculous position, because it is impossible to separate the states perpetrated a series of genocides against the indigenous people of the Americas. government, to which one is being loyal and patriotic, from the economic and political system that causes war. The state and ultimately state violence is the glue, which holds capitalism together. And at those times when there is not massive state violence going on, such as a war, capitalism continues to displace, oppress and exploit the poor. For these reasons, we must oppose both capitalist war, and capitalist "peace".

capitalist peace

Struggle

achieve such a goal, we must have a clear idea of where war comes from. The cry to To want an end to war is of course a worthwhile goal, but in order to really oppose the war by being patriotic, standing up for "what America really stands for" and voting for a different set of politicians, is merely the voice of a different section of tion in progressive rhetoric. When people are being made poor, when they are having the ruling class speaking—the voice of the liberal exploiters, who dress up exploitatheir housing taken away or being brutalized by the police, when the government is murdering people to make money for investors, people will resist. That resistance may be angry and uncontrolled. It may be rioting. It may not fit nicely into the political channels designed by the rich and powerful. Nevertheless, it is with this real struggle that we must stand in solidarity. Those who would call for electoral or patriotic responses to war are only serving to marginalize those who are already resisting.

War on the Poor

posters and bumper-stickers all over the country, proclaiming such things as "Peace is Patriotic" and "Regime Change Begins at home, Vote". They attempt to legitimize Another effect of war is that political dissidents who oppose the war can be resistance to the war, within certain acceptable channels. This response to war is funabeled as disloyal or unpatriotic. One response to this can be seen in the placards, damentally flawed.

Almost half the world's population lives on less than \$2 a of whom are women. The richest 1% of people receives as day, and 1.2 billion people live on less than \$1 a day-70% temporary or insecure shelter. In the United States, 2 million 700,000 are homeless on any given night [9]. This massive poverty is not an accident that will be done away with in time, but a very real product of the way our economic and The problem is that what we have when there is not much income as the poorest 57% [8]. Worldwide, 100 million people are homeless and 1 billion have only inadequate, people will be homeless at some point during the year, and a declared "war" going on, can hardly be described as "peace". political institutions are set up.

erty is protected, and things are produced in order to make a street, in order to raise the rent, that is an attack. When people are injured or die in unsafe working conditions because it is profit. This means that the land, the factories and the infrastructure of society are centralized in the hands of a small number of rich businessmen (and women). Under capitalism, the economic decisions—the decisions about what to make, how much and for whom-are made by the rich who People are homeless quite simply, because it is not profitable to make houses for them. People starve because it is not profitable to make food for people who camot buy it Capitalism literally creates poverty by depriving the poor of the things they need, so that they can then be exploited as a source of cheap labor. When a landlord forces their tenants into the cheaper for their boss, that is an attack. When people are own the economy, in order to make a profit for themselves. made poor and then forced to spend their entire lives working for rich people, just to get by, that is an attack. Capitalsm itself is warfare—a war of the rich and powerful against Under a capitalist economy, the right of private propthe poor and exploited.

In this war, the government is on the side of the rich. It gives massive subsidies to the rich (through Pentagon contracts, for example). It manipulates the flow of people,

Anarchists... What we stand for

- be poverty, misery and exploitation. property and production for a profit literally creates poverty by depriving 1. We are opposed to capitalism. The economic system based on private rich as a source of cheap labor. As long as there is capitalism, there will the poor of the means of subsistence. The poor are then exploited by the
- of the Americas. This brutal dispossession, subordination and exploitaare everywhere among the poorest and most exploited. tion or elimination of entire peoples continues today. The colonized people reaped from African slaves and the stolen land of the indigenous people 2. We are opposed to colonialism. Capitalism was founded on the profits
- cated anymore, the systematic privileging of white people over people of 3. We are opposed to white supremacy. While rarely explicitly advo-

globally and locally and means that the rich color is a structural aspect of the society in the poorest and most exploited are people of and powerful tend to be white people, while which we live. This structural racism exists

ers, and much of the work that women do is poverished, exploited and brutalized. Everycreating conditions in which women are immen more access to wealth and power while globe there exists a structural sexism that gives where, women are among the poorest work-4. We are opposed to patriarchy. Across the

in prison is a way to control rebellious poor people as well as a way to poverty and could be eliminated by doing away with poverty. Putting people 5. We are opposed to police. The vast majority of crimes are caused by serve and protect only the rich and their interests. the state. They exist to keep the poor and oppressed in their place. They exploit their labor. The police and the army are the physical violence of

6. We are opposed to war. Wars are fought to expand empires and to protect the interests of the rich in one country. Those who suffer and die are the poor in all countries involved. Nevertheless, we are not pacifists, and uphold the right of people to resist oppression violently if necessary.

7. We are opposed to borders. Borders are artificial barriers that divide us and facilitate our exploitation. They allow the rich and their investments to pass easily, while impeding the free movement of people. They allow empires to extend rights and privileges only to the border, while extending exploitation across the globe. Borders are the inhuman laws that allow humans to be labeled "illegal" and exploited as cheap labor.

8. We want economic equality. We want libertarian communism. We want the land and the means of production and distribution held in common. needs of the community and controlled by those We want work to be geared toward fulfilling the

doing the work. We want the means of subsistence guaranteed to those who cannot work.

9. We want political freedom. We want a stateless society—a society without rulers and ruled. We ciation and not coercion. We want autonomy and want political institutions created out of free assoself-government for all peoples and for all people.

rulers—that people can get together and

out an authority directing them. Only for deal with all the problems facing them, with-

nize is to have a boss giving orders, does those who think that the only way to orga-

"anarchy" mean "chaos".

political philosophy, anarchism is based on from Greek and means "no rulers". As a Anarchism: The word "anarchy" comes

the idea that organization does not require

inter-personal, local, regional and global solidarity and mutual aid. 10. We want human dignity. We want the means of development provided to all. We want a classdefine themselves and interact as equals. We want less society, where cultures and people are free to

11. We need a revolution. We realize that those who profit off of misery

will do everything in their power to maintain the world as it is, and the road ahead will be filled with battles. But only by fighting these battles, only through struggle on the part of the poor and exploited, against their exploiters can we ever hope to bring about an end to exploitation.